

INDIRECT ORGANOGENESIS OF THE MEDICINAL PLANT SPECIES, *CRYPTOLEPIS GRANDIFLORA* WIGHT (APOCYNACEAE) BY TISSUE CULTURE TECHNIQUE

R. Prema¹, S. Paulsamy^{2*}, J. Thambiraj² and M. Saradha²

¹Department of Botany, Arulmigu Palniandavar Arts College for Women, Palani,
Tamil Nadu, India.

²Department of Botany, Kongunadu Arts and Science College, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu, India.

ABSTRACT

In the present study, protocol for callus induction and regeneration for the medicinal plant species, *Cryptolepis grandiflora* has been developed by employing tissue culture technology. Young leaf explant inoculated on MS medium containing the growth regulators, BAP and NAA at 2.0 and 1.2 mg/L⁻¹ respectively showed higher callus induction (81%). The amount of callus responded for shoot formation greater was (78%) when subcultured on to the MS medium containing BAP (2.0 mg/L) and GA₃ (0.5 mg/L). The elongated shoots were rooted well on half strength MS medium supplemented with NAA at 1.5 mg/L and IBA at 0.2 mg/L. Regenerated plantlet were successfully acclimatized and hardened off in green house condition with better survival rate of 70%.

Keywords: *Cryptolepis grandiflora*, medicinal plant, *in vitro* indirect organogenesis.

INTRODUCTION

Tissue culture technique is being increasingly exploited for clonal multiplication and *in vitro* conservation of valuable indigenous germplasm that are threatened with extinction (Boro *et al.*, 1998). Micropropagation method is specifically applicable to species in which clonal propagation is needed (Gamborg and Phillips, 1995). *Cryptolepis grandiflora* is a climber belongs to the family, Apocynaceae, found in deciduous and moist deciduous forests and endemic to peninsular India (Henry *et al.*, 1987). Its roots, stems and leaves are used for the treatment of bone fracture. It is used for diabetes also. Due to poor availability possibilities of facing threats by this species may be serve in course of time due to its medicinal importance and hence the demand. Therefore increasing the population size and ensuring the greater biomass availability are more essential to meet the demand and conserve the species as well. This paper describes the indirect organogenesis strategies developed by using tissue culture technology for this species under

the influence of different combinations and concentrations of certain growth regulators.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Leaf segments from young and healthy branches of *C. grandiflora* were used as explants. They were collected from pot cultured individuals maintained in a mist chamber. For surface sterilization, the collected immature leaves were washed with tap water twice and then treated with 5 % tween-20 solutions for 5 min followed by rinsing in tap water. To eliminate fungal contamination, explants were further treated with 5 % antibiotics (Amphicillin and Rifampicin) for 30 min followed by 3 rinses in sterile double distilled water. Further, surface sterilization was carried out by dipping the explants in 0.1% HgCl₂ for 3 min followed by 3-4 rinses in sterile double distilled water.

Media and culture condition

Murashige and Skoog (MS) (1962) medium containing 3 % sucrose solidified with 1 % agar (tissue culture grade, Himedia, India) was used. The pH of the medium was adjusted to 5.6-5.8

prior to the addition of agar before autoclaving at 121°C for 15 min. All the culture bottles were kept in culture chamber at 25± 2°C under 16/8 hr (light/dark) photoperiod with a light intensity of 2000 lux supplied by cool white fluorescent tubes and with 60-65% relative humidity.

Callus induction medium

The explants were transferred to culture bottles containing 25 ml MS medium supplemented with different concentrations and combinations of BAP and NAA for callus induction.

Shoot induction medium

MS medium containing different combinations and concentrations of BAP (0.5, 1.0, 1.5, 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 mg/L) and GA³ at 0.5 mg/L was used for shooting.

Rooting of elongated shoots and acclimatization

After proper shoot induction, the plantlets were carefully removed from the medium and washed with sterile double distilled water properly, so as to avoid any trace of medium on roots. *In vitro* regenerated shoots (5-6 cm long) were excised and transferred onto the half strength MS medium supplemented with the auxins, NAA and IAA for rooting. After proper root formation, these rooted plantlets were transferred to hardening medium composed by garden soil, sand and vermicompost in different proportions and maintained in greenhouse condition to know the survivability rate.

Statistical Analysis

All the experiments were performed at least twice using triplicate. The data was statistically processed and means were compared using Duncan's Multiple Range Test ($P < 0.05$).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Calli formation was observed from leaf explants after 24 days of inoculation in MS medium with different combination and concentrations of BAP and NAA (Table 1). The better response of explants for callus induction (81%) was observed in the MS medium supplemented with the cytokinin, BAP (2.0 mg/L) and auxin, NAA (1.2 mg/L). Thambiraj and Paulsamy (2012) also observed similar trend of results for the plant species, *Acacia caecia*. Arumugam et al. (2009) explained that the callus formation is depending upon the several factors including the culture, environment, nature of explant and hormonal and non hormonal regulators which may act synergistically in determining the

proper induction, proliferation of calli and regeneration into plants.

Shoot initiation was more pronounced by the subculturing of callus on MS medium containing BAP with GA₃. The higher amount of 78% of callus derived shoots on to the MS medium fortified with BAP and GA₃ at 2.0 and 0.5 mg/L respectively. Greater number of multiple shoots (12.77 shoots/callus) and higher shoot length (6.7 cm) were produced in the same combinations and concentrations of growth regulators as observed for shoot initiation (Table 2). The superiority of the cytokinin, BAP over the other growth regulators on shoot bud production and proliferation of shoots has been reported for several medicinal and aromatic plant species (Jebakumar and Jayabalan, 2000; Faisal and Anis, 2003; Hussain and Anis, 2006; David Raja et al., 2008). Induction of roots is an important step in *in vitro* plant propagation and for that the excised shoots were inoculated on MS medium containing the auxins, NAA and IBA for effective root development (Table 3). Higher amount of 74% of shoots produced roots on the MS medium supplemented with NAA and IBA 1.5 and 0.2 mg/L respectively. Higher root numbers (9.81 roots/ shoot) and root length (6.5 cm) were observed on the MS medium supplemented with NAA and IBA at 1.5 and 0.2 mg/L respectively (Table 3). These findings are in agreement with that reported by Sujatha and Reddy (1998); Ahn et al. (2007); Alam et al. (2010) and Ramanathan et al. (2011). All these facts indicate that the cytokinin, BAP is the most essential growth regulator for effective shooting of the study species, *C. grandiflora*.

After the development of roots, the plantlets were taken out from the culture bottles and washed with sterilized distilled water to remove adhering agar medium, so that the chance of contamination could be stopped. Then these juvenile plantlets were transferred to the hardening medium containing garden soil, sand and vermicompost (1:1:1 ratio by volume) in that the leaf callus derived plantlets survivability rate was higher (70%) (Table 4). Admixture of all these three components may offer conducive environment by providing proper nutrients, adequate aeration and required minerals respectively to the plantlets. Generally, it is reported that application of the auxin, IBA with another auxin, NAA results in increased rooting in many plants as the physiological stages of rooting are correlated with the changes more effectively by these auxins (Anantha Padmanabha and Vijayalakshmi, 1994; Singh et al., 2005; Torres, 2004; Dhillon et al., 2009). Similar kinds of findings of effective root formation by the

influence of various types of auxins in many plant species have been reported elsewhere (Mallikadevi and Paulsamy, 2009; Mahesh et al., 2010; Loc et al., 2011; Mungole et al., 2011; Rajput et al., 2011).

It is concluded that the multiple shoot formation and elite plantlet production protocol

established for the medicinal plant species, *Cryptolepis grandiflora* from leaf explants by employing tissue culture technology is more useful to enhance the population and hence the biomass of this species.

Table 1: Effect of growth regulators on callus induction from leaf explants of the species, *Cryptolepis grandiflora*

Growth regulators (mg/L)				Days required for callus formation after inoculation	Callus formation (%)
BAP	2, 4-D	NAA	Kn	Leaf explant	Leaf explant
0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	16	35.25 ^a ± 1.63
1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19	47.76 ^c ± 0.82
1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	17	56.86 ^e ± 1.63
2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	20	64.35 ^g ± 2.45
2.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	21	78.53 ⁱ ± 0.82
3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	19	60.84 ^f ± 0.82
0.0	0.5	0.4	0.0	16	46.57 ^c ± 1.63
0.0	1.0	0.4	0.0	15	54.37 ^e ± 1.63
0.0	1.5	0.4	0.0	20	61.36 ^f ± 0.82
0.0	2.0	0.4	0.0	23	72.58 ⁱ ± 1.63
0.0	2.5	0.4	0.0	16	57.97 ^a ± 1.63
0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	15	49.00 ^{cd} ± 0.82
1.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	16	57.35 ^e ± 1.63
1.5	0.0	0.9	0.0	21	81.35 ⁱ ± 0.82
2.0	0.0	1.2	0.0	24	77.13 ⁱ ± 1.63
2.5	0.0	1.5	0.0	21	72.25 ^h ± 1.63
3.0	0.0	1.8	0.0	18	52.87 ^b ± 1.63
0.0	0.3	0.0	0.2	15	46.48 ^c ± 1.63
0.0	0.6	0.0	0.4	17	51.59 ^d ± 0.82
0.0	0.9	0.0	0.6	16	55.32 ^e ± 1.63
0.0	1.2	0.0	0.8	18	60.21 ^f ± 0.82
0.0	1.5	0.0	1.0	14	49.00 ^{cd} ± 0.82

Means in columns followed by different letter (s) are significant to each other at 5% level according to DMRT.

Table 2: Effect of different concentrations of growth regulators on shoot initiation, shoot number and shoot length after the subculturing of leaf derived callus of the species, *Cryptolepis grandiflora*

Growth regulators (mg/L)				Culture response (%)	No. of shoots/callus	Shoot length (cm)
BAP	NAA	GA ₃	IAA			
0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	49.23 ^d ± 0.82	4.14 ^{ab} ± 0.82	2.9 ^{ab} ± 0.82
0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	62.64 ^{hi} ± 0.82	5.74 ^{bcd} ± 0.82	3.8 ^{abc} ± 1.63
0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	65.78 ⁱ ± 1.63	7.98 ^{def} ± 1.63	4.0 ^{abc} ± 1.63
0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	58.37 ^{fg} ± 0.82	6.00 ^{abc} ± 1.63	5.2 ^{bc} ± 0.82
0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	52.64 ^{gh} ± 1.63	5.38 ^{fgh} ± 1.63	4.6 ^{abc} ± 0.82
0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	47.53 ^{kl} ± 1.63	4.64 ^{fgh} ± 0.82	5.7 ^c ± 1.63
0.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	69.27 ^k ± 0.82	11.75 ^{hi} ± 0.16	4.3 ^{abc} ± 0.82
1.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	71.00 ^m ± 1.63	10.35 ^{ghi} ± 0.82	3.9 ^{abc} ± 0.82
1.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	74.58 ⁿ ± 0.82	11.17 ⁱ ± 0.82	5.0 ^c ± 1.63
2.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	76.18 ⁿ ± 0.82	11.82 ⁱ ± 0.82	5.7 ^c ± 1.63
2.5	0.0	0.3	0.0	78.58 ^b ± 1.63	12.77 ^{efg} ± 0.82	6.7 ^{abc} ± 0.82
3.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	50.36 ^a ± 1.63	5.32 ^{bcd} ± 0.82	2.5 ^{ab} ± 0.82
0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	45.26 ^{de} ± 0.82	7.41 ^{ghi} ± 0.82	3.5 ^{abc} ± 1.63
0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	41.12 ^a ± 0.82	6.67 ^{fgh} ± 0.82	4.9 ^{bc} ± 0.82

0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	49.11 ^c ± 0.82	6.52 ^{cde} ± 1.63	5.3 ^{bc} ± 1.63
0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	51.85 ^g ± 1.63	3.43 ^{ab} ± 1.63	4.7 ^{abc} ± 1.63
0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	54.26 ^{ij} ± 0.82	5.28 ^{bcd} ± 0.82	3.3 ^{abc} ± 0.82
0.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	50.16 ⁱ ± 1.63	8.47 ^{efg} ± 1.63	2.8 ^{ab} ± 0.82
0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	25.49 ^d ± 0.82	1.90 ^{def} ± 0.82	1.7 ^{abc} ± 0.82
1.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	49.00 ^k ± 1.63	2.38 ^{ghi} ± 0.82	2.9 ^a ± 0.82
1.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	59.45 ^{ef} ± 1.63	4.15 ^{abc} ± 1.63	3.4 ^{abc} ± 0.82
2.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	62.75 ^{hi} ± 0.82	2.47 ^a ± 0.82	3.5 ^{abc} ± 1.63
2.5	0.0	0.0	0.2	63.32 ^{ig} ± 0.82	5.74 ^{ab} ± 1.63	2.0 ^a ± 0.82
3.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	64.21 ^{ij} ± 1.63	6.00 ^{gh} ± 0.82	2.5 ^{ab} ± 0.82

Means in columns followed by different letter (s) are significant to each other at 5% level according to DMRT

Table 3: Effect of different concentrations of growth regulators on root number, rooting percentage and root length after the subculturing of leaf callus derived shoots of the species, *Cryptolepis grandiflora*

Growth regulators (mg/l)			Shoots rooted (%)	No. of roots/shoot	Root length (cm)
IBA	IAA	NAA			
0.5	0.2	0.0	60.30 ^j ± 0.41	6.67 ^{abc} ± 0.82	5.4 ^{a-d} ± 0.82
1.0	0.2	0.0	68.25 ^k ± 0.82	7.39 ^{def} ± 1.63	5.9 ^{ef} ± 0.82
1.5	0.2	0.0	74.29 ^m ± 0.41	9.81 ^f ± 1.63	6.5 ^f ± 1.63
2.0	0.2	0.0	70.65 ^l ± 0.82	8.78 ^{ef} ± 0.41	6.2 ^{ef} ± 1.63
2.5	0.2	0.0	57.54 ^h ± 1.63	4.98 ^{abc} ± 0.82	4.8 ^{de} ± 0.41
3.0	0.2	0.0	51.45 ^g ± 0.82	3.00 ^{ab} ± 0.16	3.2 ^{a-d} ± 0.16
0.5	0.0	0.3	42.65 ^e ± 0.41	2.26 ^{bcd} ± 1.63	1.1 ^{bcd} ± 0.82
1.0	0.0	0.3	39.32 ^d ± 0.82	3.19 ^{ab} ± 1.63	3.9 ^{a-d} ± 0.33
1.5	0.0	0.3	35.54 ^c ± 1.63	4.64 ^{abc} ± 0.82	2.8 ^{abc} ± 0.49
2.0	0.0	0.3	31.24 ^b ± 0.82	4.38 ^{abc} ± 0.33	3.5 ^{a-d} ± 0.41
2.5	0.0	0.3	27.17 ^a ± 0.41	2.59 ^a ± 0.82	2.6 ^{ab} ± 0.82
3.0	0.0	0.3	17.98 ^a ± 0.82	3.38 ^{ab} ± 0.82	2.2 ^a ± 0.16
0.5	0.0	0.0	34.87 ^c ± 0.82	3.29 ^{ab} ± 1.63	3.8 ^{a-d} ± 0.82
1.0	0.0	0.0	45.67 ^f ± 0.82	5.48 ^{bcd} ± 0.49	2.9 ^{abc} ± 0.82
1.5	0.0	0.0	52.54 ^h ± 1.63	6.76 ^{cde} ± 1.63	4.1 ^{bcd} ± 0.82
2.0	0.0	0.0	51.87 ^h ± 0.82	5.53 ^{bcd} ± 0.82	4.5 ^{cde} ± 0.41
2.5	0.0	0.0	48.88 ^g ± 0.41	4.68 ^{abc} ± 0.82	3.2 ^{a-d} ± 0.33
3.0	0.0	0.0	43.34 ⁱ ± 0.82	5.58 ^{bcd} ± 0.82	4.8 ^{de} ± 0.24

Means in columns followed by different letter (s) are significant to each other at 5% level according to DMRT

Table 4: Effect of different composition of hardening medium on survivability rate of leaf callus derived *in vitro* rooted plantlets of the species, *Cryptolepis grandiflora*

Hardening medium composition (V/V)	No. of plantlets under hardening	No. of plantlets survived	Survivability (%)
Red soil + sand (1:1)	50	24	49 ^a ± 0.82
Garden soil + sand + vermicompost (1:1:1)	50	44	70 ^d ± 0.41
Decomposed coir waste + perlite + compost (1:1:1)	50	38	65 ^c ± 1.63
Vermicompost + soil (1:1)	50	32	62 ^b ± 0.65
Red soil + sand + vermicompost (1:1:1)	50	25	50 ^a ± 0.82

Means in column followed by different letter are significant to each other at 5% level according to DMRT

REFERENCES

- Ahn YJ, Vang L, McKeon TA and Chen GQ. High –frequency plant regeneration through adventitious shoot formation in castor (*Ricinus communis* L.). *In vitro Cell Dev Bio-plant*. 2007; 43:9-15.
- Alam I, Sharmin SA, Chandra mondal S, Jahangir Alam MD, Khalekuzzaman M, Anisuzzaman M and Firoz Alam M. *In vitro* micropropagation through cotyledonary node culture of castor bean (*Ricinus communis* L.). *Australian Journal of Crop Science*. 2010;4(2):81 – 84.
- Anantha Padmanabha HS and Vijayalakshmi G. Vegetative propagations of Teak through branch cuttings and sprouts, Tree breeding and propagation. 1994;3:8-9.

4. Arumugam S, Chu FU, Wang SY, Chang ST. In vitro plant regeneration from immature leaflets derived callus of *Acacia confuse* Merr. via organogenesis. *J Plant Biochem Biotechnol.* 2009; 18(2):1-5.
5. Boro PS, Sharma Deka AC and Kalita MC. Clonal propagation of *Alternanthera sessilis*: A biopharmaceutically potent herbal medicinal plant. *J phytol Res.* 1998;11:103-106.
6. Dhillon RS, Hooda MS, Pundeer JS, Ahlawat KS and Kumari S. Development of efficient techniques for clonal multiplication of *Jatropha curcus* L. a potential biodiesel plant. *Current Science.* 2009; 96(6):823-827.
7. Faisal M and Anis M. *Plant cell Tissue and Organ Culture.* 2003;75:125-129.
8. Gamborg OL and Phillips GC. Laboratory facilities, operation and management. In: Gamborg OL and Phillips GC (ed.) *Fundamental methods of plant cell, tissue and organ culture.* Springer, Berlin, NewYork. 1995;3-20.
9. Henry AN, Kumari GR and Chitra V. *Flora of Tamil Nadu, Series – I, Vol, Botanical survey of India, Southern – Circle, Coimbatore.* 1987.
10. Husain M and Anis M. Rapid in vitro propagation of *Eclipta alba* (L.) Hassk. through high frequency axillary shoot proliferation. *Acta physiol plant.* 2006;28:325-330.
11. Jebakumar M and Jayabalan M. An efficient method for regeneration of plantlets from nodal explants of *Prosalea corydifolia* Linn. *Plant cell Biotechnol Mol Biol.* 2000;1(1&2):37-40.
12. Loc NH and Kiet HV. Micropropagation of *Solanum hainanense* Hance. *Annals of Biological Research.* 2011;2(2):394-398.
13. Mahesh CM, Ramraj M and Vidya P. High frequency plant regeneration from shoot tip explants of *Citrullus colocynthis* (Linn.) Schared. – An important medicinal herb. *African Journal of Biotechnology.* 2010;9(31):5037-5041.
14. Mallika devi T and Paulsamy S. Micropropagation of the medicinal plant *Plumbago zeylanica* Linn. *Plant Cell Biotechnology and Molecular Biology.* 2009;10(1&2):69-74.
15. Mungole AJ, Doifode VD, Kamble RB, Chaturvedi A and Zanwar P. In-vitro callus induction and shoot regeneration in *Physalis minima* L. *Annals of Biological Research.* 2011;2(2):79-85.
16. Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco tissue cultures. *Physiol Plant.* 1962;15:473-497.
17. David Raja H and Arockiasamy DI. In vitro Propagation of *Mentha viridis* L. from Nodal and Shoot tip Explants. *Plant Tissue Cult Biotech.* 2008;18:1-6.
18. Rajput HJ, Jadhav SB and Katwate SM. Effect of growth regulators on callus initiation and plantlet regeneration from leaf explants in *Gerbera jamesonii* Bolus. *Ad Plant Sci.* 2011;124(1):21-24.
19. Ramanathan T, Satyavani K and Gurudeeban S. In vitro plant regeneration from leaf primordia of gum – bearing tree *Aegle marmelos*. *E-International Scientific Research Journal.* 2011;3(1):47– 50.
20. Singh S, Kumar P and Ansari SA. Clonal propagation of Teak (*Tectona grandis*) by composite treatment of auxin with thiamine. *Indian Journal of Forestry.* 2005;28(2):108-111.
21. Sujatha M and Reddy TP. Differential Cytokinin effects on the stimulation of in vitro shoot proliferation from meristematic explants of castor (*Ricinus Communis* L.). *Plant cell Rep.* 1998; 17:561-566.
22. Thambiraj J and Paulsamy S. Rapid in vitro multiplication of the ethnomedicinal shrub, *Acacia caesia* (L.) Willd. (Mimosaceae) from leaf explants. *Asian Pacific Journal of Tropical Biomedicine.* 2012;S618–S622.
23. Torres AI. Rooting experiments with *Euphorbia lagascae* cuttings. *Anales de Biologica.* 2004;26: 101-104.